Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/971,929	TAKANO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE	1654
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>SATYANARAYANA R. GUDIBANDE</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Chad Rink.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 June 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 1, 17, 19-21		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Mr. Rink was approached with a propsed examiner's amendmen. Rink accepted the proposed amendments on 6/8/09.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate indirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate indirection of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Satyanarayana R Gudibande/ Examiner, Art Unit 1654	applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)